# **Building Aerodynamics**



### **Sand Erosion Method** – Group 6 Effects of building height variability and building gaps

Antoine PATÉ Simon IKONOMAKIS Thomas BÉLARDY Florian SOILLY

### Table of contents

Introduction I. Context II. Experiments III. Computation of the results IV. Interpretation Conclusion

I. Context

II. Experiments

III. Computation of the results

IV. Interpretation



- Aim of the project : Studying wind conditions and pedestrian wind comfort in a urban square
- Target square : József Nádor Square in downtown Budapest
- Sand Erosion Method
- Used Softwares : Ara Sand Erosion and Tecplot



### I. Context

II. Experiments

III. Computation of the results

IV. Interpretation



### I. Context

Sand Erosion Method :

- Gives an effective image of the zones where local wind speed will be higher
- Creation of a model placed in a wind tunnel, recovered with sand grains
- Observation of the places where the sand is blown away
- The erosion patterns give an impression of the zones where the wind speed will be higher, thus the wind comfort with be lower

### I. Context



I. Context

### **II. Experiments**

III. Computation of the results

**IV.** Interpretation



### 5 steps:

- 1. Models creation
- 2. Fractioning of the sand
- 3. Preparation of the wind tunnel
- 4. Wind speed calibration
- 5. Experiments

#### Fractioning of the sand:





• Preparation of the wind tunnel:

#### Model fixation

![](_page_10_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### Camera setup

![](_page_10_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Wind speed calibration:

- Model used: Flat plate
- Target: Critical wind speed
  - Threshold value of wind speed for which the sand is blown away
- Critical speed value : 7,91 m/s
- Used for following computations

![](_page_11_Picture_7.jpeg)

- 8 configurations 4 models with 2 wind directions
- 3 main steps:
  - Picture at o m/s
  - From 3 to 8 m/s by 0,5 increments
  - From 8 to 10 m/s by 1 increment

![](_page_12_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_7.jpeg)

I. Context

II. Experiments

## **III. Computation of the results**

**IV.** Interpretation

![](_page_13_Picture_5.jpeg)

### III. Computation of results

#### • Pictures treated with ARA Sand Erosion

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

### III. Computation of results

#### • Tecplot analysis :

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

### III. Computation of results

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

I. Context

II. Experiments

III. Computation of the results

### **IV. Interpretation**

![](_page_17_Picture_5.jpeg)

### IV. Interpretation

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Forward wind o<sup>o</sup> Important flow separation

#### 5 December 2018 Wind Flow direction

### IV. Interpretation

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Inclined wind 30° Smaller flow separation

5 December 2018

Wind Flow direction

### IV. Interpretation – Comparison

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

Monobloc compared with empty space o<sup>o</sup>

- Flow acceleration
- Flow deceleration

### IV. Interpretation – Comparison

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Monobloc compared with empty space 30°

- Flow acceleration
- Flow deceleration

I. Context

II. Experiments

III. Computation of the results

IV. Interpretation

### Conclusion

### V. Conclusion

- Qualitative and quantitative ideas of the wind stream on floor level
- Observation of the main flow separations
  - Easier to recognize them in the o° situation than on the 30°

#### • Limits:

- We didn't use the same 1 bit BW filter's value for every photo
- Some imprecision with the sand repartition and thickness
- Sand diameter not perfectly constant

# **Ouestions**?