Redesign of an electric motor cooling fan for reduction of fan noise and absorbed power

<u>J. Vad</u> – Cs. Horváth – M. M. Lohász – D. Jesch – L. Molnár – G. Koscsó – L. Nagy – I. Dániel – A. Gulyás

Department of Fluid Mechanics



Budapest University of Technology and Economics

Outline

- Objectives: radial \rightarrow axial motor cooling fan
- Survey on the existing radial fan
- Axial fan design
- Prototyping and testing
- Summary



1. Introduction and objectives



Perforated cover

Motor Rotor Inflow Motor shield

Radial datum rotor

Axial rotor as result of redesign (Rotor environment unchanged)

Simple geometry Unidirectional operation BUT Flow separation Increased aerodynamic loss Pronounced noise More complex geometry Bidirectional operation BUT

Moderate absorbed power Moderate noise

ETC 2011 - Vad et al.

RADIAL FANS

•Only very few publications on radial flow blade rows with extremely high incidence (as for truly radially shaped straight blades) (Noda et al., 2005; Johnson et al., 2007)

•No combination of aerodynamic and acoustic aspects

•No literature on characteristic and efficiency curves

AXIAL FANS

Automotive cooling fans, operating in a confined environment (e.g. Gifford et al., 2009; Moreau et al., 2009) ⇔ presence of perforated cover; motor shield located extremely close downstream

•Combined aerodynamic and acoustic aspects of "radial" tip clearance (e.g. Corsini et al., 2009) ⇔ no "radial" clearance (shrouded rotor) but "axial" clearance

2. Survey on the existing radial fan





Ν	11
Re	$1.51 \cdot 10^{5}$
Ма	5.29.10-2
$arPhi_{n}$	0.123
Ψ_{n}	0.322





Acoustic investigation



Measured sound pressure level spectra

3. Axial fan design

Aerodynamics

Controlled vortex design: spanwise increasing blade load

•Higher axial velocity at higher radii \Rightarrow cooling ribs

•Better utilization of blade sections at higher radii \Rightarrow high specific performance

Strong radial outward flow \Rightarrow modelling conical stream tubes through the rotor

Motor shield close downstream \Rightarrow increased deviation \Rightarrow compensated

Acoustics

High specific performance \Rightarrow moderation of rotor circumferential speed \Rightarrow possibility for moderation of noise

Sickle-shaped (forward-skewed) blades (⇔ interaction and BL noise) Leakage flow



Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) Computational Aero-Acoustics (CAA) (Cros and Carbonneau, 2009)

ETC 2011 - Vad et al.



CAA and CFD results. a): $c_x = 5.2 \% d_t$. Acoustic power level [d*B*] at the plane normal to the rotor axis just downstream of shroud inlet. b), c): Streamlines and velocity magnitude [*m*/*s*] in a meridional section. b): $c_x = 5.2 \% d_t$. c): No clearance.



CAA and CFD results related to the blade surface. a): $c_x = 5.2 \%$ d_t . Acoustic power level [d*B*] on the suction side. b), c): Limiting streamlines and static pressure [*Pa*, relative to atmospheric] on the pressure side. b): $c_x = 5.2 \% d_t$. c): No clearance.

4. Prototyping and testing



4.1. Dependence of flow rate on axial clearance size: measurements



4.2. Thermodynamic studies

a) Examples for thermocamera records, b) Warm-up history

4.3. Acoustic studies

3 measuring points, 0.5 m distance, on-axis, \pm 90° off-axis Axial fan: 7.3 dB(A) reduction of the A-weighted SPL

4.4. Absorbed shaft power Axial fan: 30 % reduction

ETC 2011 - Vad et al.

7. Summary

Radial fan

Characteristic and efficiency curves for a radial cooling fan with truly radially shaped straight blades

$\textbf{Redesign} \Rightarrow \textbf{Axial fan}$

•Purposeful application of controlled vortex concept in axial fan design: less cooling demand at lower radii, more cooling demand near the circumference (cooling ribs)

 Leakage flow in the axial clearance: deterioration of flow conditions along the entire span – to be considered in design

•Flow rate delivered toward the cooling ribs: decreases nearly linearly with axial clearance size

•Leakage flow in the axial clearance: a major noise source

Axial (vs. radial) fan

Unchanged cooling capacity

•≈7 dB(A) reduction of A-weighted sound pressure level

•≈30 % reduction of absorbed shaft power